## SOUTH CAROLINA HUMAN AFFAIRS COMMISSION COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA

STATE AUDITOR'S REPORT
JUNE 30, 2007

## **CONTENTS**

		PAGE
I.	INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES	1
II.	ACCOUNTANT'S COMMENTS	
	SECTION A - VIOLATIONS OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS	5
	PAY CALCULATION	6
	EMPLOYEE PROFILE FUNDING SOURCE	6
	SECTION B - STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING	7
	MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE	8

# State of South Carolina



1401 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1200 COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201

RICHARD H. GILBERT, JR., CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR (803) 253-4160 FAX (803) 343-0723

#### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

July 1, 2008

The Honorable Mark Sanford, Governor and Members of the Commission South Carolina Human Affairs Commission Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the governing body and management of the South Carolina Human Affairs Commission (the Commission), solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Commission for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, in the areas addressed. The Commission's management is responsible for its financial records, internal controls and compliance with State laws and regulations. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

## 1. Cash Receipts and Revenues

- We inspected selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the agency's policies and procedures and State regulations.
- We inspected all fiscal month 12 and 13, fiscal year 2007 and fiscal month 01, fiscal year 2008 recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
- We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in the State's accounting system (STARS) as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if recorded revenues were in agreement.
- We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittance were supported by law.
- We compared current year recorded revenues at the subfund and object code level from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked and federal funds to ensure that revenue was classified properly in the agency's accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels (\$100 – general fund, \$11,700 – earmarked fund, and \$4,000 – federal fund) and ± 10 percent.

The Honorable Mark Sanford, Governor and Members of the Commission South Carolina Human Affairs Commission July 1, 2008

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

### 2. Non-Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures

- We inspected selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the agency's policies and procedures and State regulations, were bona fide disbursements of the Commission, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations; if the acquired goods and/or services were procured in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
- We inspected selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
- We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded expenditures were in agreement.
- We compared current year expenditures at the subfund and major object code level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, and federal funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the agency's accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels (\$18,000 – general fund, \$11,200 – earmarked fund, and \$5,200 – federal fund) and ± 10 percent.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

## 3. Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures

- We inspected selected recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the selected payroll transactions were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; persons on the payroll were bona fide employees; payroll transactions, including employee payroll deductions, were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements and processed in accordance with the agency's policies and procedures and State regulations.
- We inspected selected payroll vouchers to determine if the vouchers were properly approved and if the gross payroll agreed to amounts recorded in the general ledger and in STARS.
- We inspected payroll transactions for all new employees and those who
  terminated employment to determine if the employees were added and/or
  removed from the payroll in accordance with the agency's policies and
  procedures, that the employee's first and/or last pay check was properly
  calculated and that the employee's leave payout was properly calculated in
  accordance with applicable State law.
- We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were in agreement.
- We compared current year payroll expenditures at the subfund and major object code level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, and federal funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the agency's accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels (\$18,000 - general fund, \$11,200 earmarked fund, and \$5,200 - federal fund) and ± 10 percent.

The Honorable Mark Sanford, Governor and Members of the Commission South Carolina Human Affairs Commission July 1, 2008

 We compared the percentage change in recorded personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions; and computed the percentage distribution of recorded fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and compared the computed distribution to the actual distribution of recorded payroll expenditures by fund source. We investigated changes of ± 5 percent to ensure that payroll expenditures were classified properly in the agency's accounting records.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. Our findings as a result of these procedures are presented in Pay Calculation and Employee Profile Funding Source in the Accountant's Comments section of this report.

## 4. Journal Entries, Operating Transfers and Appropriation Transfers

• We inspected selected recorded journal entries and all operating and appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; they agreed with the supporting documentation, the purpose of the transactions was documented and explained, the transactions were properly approved, and were mathematically correct; and the transactions were processed in accordance with the agency's policies and procedures and State regulations.

The journal entries selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

## 5. **General Ledger and Subsidiary Ledgers**

 We inspected selected entries and monthly totals in the subsidiary records of the Commission to determine if the amounts were mathematically accurate; the numerical sequences of selected document series were complete; the selected monthly totals were accurately posted to the general ledger; and selected entries were processed in accordance with the agency's policies and procedures and State regulations.

The transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### 6. **Reconciliations**

• We obtained all monthly reconciliations prepared by the Commission for the year ended June 30, 2007, and inspected selected reconciliations of balances in the Commission's accounting records to those in STARS as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if accounts reconciled. For the selected reconciliations, we determined if they were timely performed and properly documented in accordance with State regulations, recalculated the amounts, agreed the applicable amounts to the Commission's general ledger, agreed the applicable amounts to the STARS reports, determined if reconciling differences were adequately explained and properly resolved, and determined if necessary adjusting entries were made in the Commission's accounting records and/or in STARS.

The reconciliations selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

The Honorable Mark Sanford, Governor and Members of the Commission South Carolina Human Affairs Commission July 1, 2008

## 7. Appropriation Act

 We inspected agency documents, observed processes, and/or made inquiries of agency personnel to determine the Commission's compliance with Appropriation Act general and agency specific provisos.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### 8. Closing Packages

 We obtained copies of all closing packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, prepared by the Commission and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We inspected them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's <u>GAAP Closing Procedures</u> <u>Manual</u> requirements and if the amounts reported in the closing packages agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### 9. Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance

 We obtained a copy of the schedule of federal financial assistance for the year ended June 30, 2007, prepared by the Commission and submitted to the State Auditor. We inspected it to determine if it was prepared in accordance with the State Auditor's letter of instructions; if the amounts agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

## 10. Status of Prior Findings

 We inquired about the status of the finding reported in the Accountant's Comments section of the State Auditor's Report on the Commission resulting from our engagement for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006, to determine if the Agency had taken corrective action.

Our finding as a result of these procedures is presented in Employee Profile Funding Source in the Accountant's Comments section of this report.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified elements, accounts, or items. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor and of the governing body and management of the Commission and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA

Deputy State Auditor



## <u>SECTION A - VIOLATIONS OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS</u>

Management of each State agency is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls to ensure compliance with State Laws, Rules or Regulations. The procedures agreed to by the agency require that we plan and perform the engagement to determine whether any violations of State Laws, Rules or Regulations occurred.

The conditions described in this section have been identified as violation of State Laws, Rules or Regulations.

#### **PAY CALCULATION**

One of the thirteen termination pay transactions tested contained an error that resulted in an overpayment of \$15. The error occurred because the Commission paid a salaried employee for a partial work day using an hourly rate derived from the number of hours in a work year. The Commission should have paid the employee based on the proportion of hours worked to the total hours available in the pay period.

South Carolina Code of Laws section 8-11-30 (A) states, "It is unlawful for a person: (1) to receive a salary from the State or any of its departments which is not due; or (2) employed by the State to issue vouchers, checks, or otherwise pay salaries or monies that are not due to state employees ..."

We recommend the Commission revise its procedures to compute pay for partial work days based upon percentage of hours worked during the pay period.

## **EMPLOYEE PROFILE FUNDING SOURCE**

Our test of twenty-five payroll transactions covering twenty employees disclosed that the funding source shown on the Office of Human Resources (OHR) Employee Profile for six employees did not agree with the Comptroller General's or Commission's records.

Section 8-11-230 of the South Carolina Code of Laws requires the OHR to establish and maintain a central personnel data system on all State employees and, in coordination with agencies served, determine the data to be recorded on employees and positions and the procedures and forms to be used by all agencies in reporting data. In order to maintain the integrity and completeness of the central personnel data system (HRIS), all agencies are required to submit appropriate information in a timely manner.

We recommend that the Agency update HRIS for all changes in employee information on a timely basis.

## **SECTION B - STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING**

During the current engagement, we reviewed the status of corrective action taken on the finding titled Employee Profile Funding Source reported in the Accountant's Comments section of the State Auditor's Report on the Commission for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006, and dated March 27, 2007. In response to our inquiries, we were told that the Commission has developed and implemented procedures to correct the weakness reported in the prior year. However, because the procedures were implemented after June 30, 2007, we did not perform tests of the new procedures. We have repeated this finding in Section A of our report.



#### **ATTACHMENT**

South Carolina Human Affairs Commission August 28, 2008 Response to Accountant's Comments, State Auditor's Report, June 30, 2007

#### PAY CALCULATION

It was determined that in a termination pay transaction, a salaried employee was paid for a partial day based on an hourly rate, instead of prorated for partial earnings during a specific pay period.

It should be noted that there are 1,950 hours (based on the 37.5 hour work week) in a salaried year. Payments made utilizing the prorated time per pay period result in a varied rate of compensation based on the number of days falling within the specific semi-monthly pay period.

Additionally, the payment of terminal leave, or accrued annual leave, is based on the hourly rate calculated at 1,950 hours annually for the salaried work year.

The Human Affairs Commission does not agree with this Auditor's Comment, but will comply.

## EMPLOYEE PROFILE FUNDING SOURCE

This condition stems from the ever changing status of Federal and Earmarked funds projected, and those funds actually awarded and/or received in any given fiscal year. As stated in the Accountant's Comments, the Human Affairs Commission has developed and implemented procedures to correct this weakness.

4	( ()	:- 1		1		1 1	: a l	<u> </u>		_4!	4- 1	and a C		-4 - (	Φ4 40	<b>\</b>		
4 copie total pi amend	es of the rinting ed requ	nis do cost uires t	cum of S this i	ent v \$5.60 nforr	vere ). S natio	publi Section on	isheo on 1 prin	d at -11- ting	an e 125 costs	stima of th be a	ated ple Scadded	orintir outh d to th	ng co Caro ne do	st of lina ( cume	\$1.40 Code ent.	eac of L	ch, ar ∟aws,	id a as
4 copie total pi amend	es of the	nis do cost uires t	cum of S this i	ent v \$5.60 nforr	vere ). S natio	publi Section on	isheo on 1 prin	d at -11- ting	an e 125 costs	stima of th s be a	ated ple Solder	orintir outh d to th	ng co Caro ne do	st of lina ( cume	\$1.40 Code ent.	eac of L	ch, ar ∟aws,	id a as
4 copie total pi amend	es of the	nis do cost uires t	cum of S this i	ent v \$5.60 nforn	vere ). S natio	publi Section on	isheo on 1 prin	d at -11- ting	an e 125 costs	stima of th s be a	ated ple Scadded	orintir outh d to th	ng co Caro ne do	st of lina ( cume	\$1.40 Code ent.	eac of L	ch, ar Laws,	id a as